

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,800	. 01/09/2004	Robert Henry Roche	1600	
	7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
Robert H. Roche		MEHMOOD, JENNIFER		
Suite H 1004 Littlestov	wn Pike		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Westminster, MD 21157-3026		2612	.	
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	10/754,800
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner

Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— //	
10/754,800	ROCHE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	•	
Jennifer A. Mehmood	2612		

	Jennifer A. Mehmood	2612 .	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expired to the sta	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED WITTIIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,9 and 10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	·						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Due to the numerous errors (particularly 35 USC 112 2nd issues) in the claims, the claims do not particularly point out and distinctly define an invention. In addition, the sturcture of the claims is replete with indefinite and functional or operative language. Even though applicants submitted amendments, the amendments do not cure the deficiencies set forth in the final office action dated September 20, 2006.

SUPERVISORY PATIENT EXAMINER